VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY

In re:) Case Nos.:	CL 2007-248724,
Multi-Circuit Episcopal Church Litigation)	CL 2006-15792,
)	CL 2006-15793,
)	CL 2007-556,
)	CL 2007-1235,
)	CL 2007-1236,
)	CL 2007-1237,
)	CL 2007-1238,
)	CL 2007-1625,
)	CL 2007-5249,
)	CL 2007-5250,
)	CL 2007-5362,
)	CL 2007-5363,
)	CL 2007-5364,
)	CL 2007-5682,
)	CL 2007-5683,
)	CL 2007-5684,
)	CL 2007-5685,
)	CL 2007-5686,
)	CL 2007-5902,
)	CL 2007-5903, and
)	CL 2007-11514

THE DIOCESE OF VIRGINIA'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE REQUEST OF AMICI CURIAE TO PARTICIPATE IN ORAL ARGUMENT

For the following reasons, the Episcopal Diocese of Virginia supports the request to participate in oral argument on May 28, 2008, by *amici curiae* General Council on Finance and Administration of the United Methodist Church; African Methodist Episcopal Church; African Methodist Episcopal Zion ("A.M.E. Zion") Church; Worldwide Church of God; the Rt. Rev. Charlene Kammerer, Bishop of the Virginia Annual Conference of the United Methodist Church; and W. Clark Williams, Chancellor of the Virginia Annual Conference of the United Methodist Church (collectively, the "Amici"):

- 1. As stated in the Brief of the *Amici* (at 4-6), they have clear and substantial interests in the constitutional questions set to be argued at the hearing on May 28.
- 2. The Amici have other ties to these proceedings, to Va. Code § 57-9, and to current Virginia church property law that make it appropriate for the Court to hear what they have to say. The parties offered testimony regarding the history of the Methodists (including many of the Amici) to support their interpretation of § 57-9(A), and the Court noted some such evidence in its Letter Opinion of April 3, 2008. See, e.g., Brief of Amici at 8 (quoting Letter Opinion at 83). One of the Amici, the A.M.E. Zion Church, was a party to Green v. Lewis, 221 Va. 547, 272 S.E.2d 181 (1980), the last church property dispute in a hierarchical church considered by the Supreme Court of Virginia.
- 3. The Court has already granted the Attorney General status to participate in oral argument on May 28 as an *amicus*. Basic considerations of fairness and balance weigh in favor of permitting opposing *amici* the opportunity to argue as well. Indeed, it suggests little more than a desire to stack the lineup with friends that the Congregations would support the request to argue orally by an *amicus* that takes a position favorable for them (the Attorney General) but oppose oral argument by *amici* who take a position unfavorable to them (the *Amici*). ¹
- 4. By opposing oral argument by the *Amici*, the Congregations continue to show little regard for the religious freedom guaranteed by the Constitutions of the Commonwealth and the United States. If counsel for the Commonwealth, but not counsel for the *Amici*, can be heard orally on the important church-state issues to be argued on May 28, 2008, that is one more nail in the coffin of religious freedom. Indeed, the very notion that churches would advance such a proposition is not only ironical but short-sighted on their part.

¹ By contrast, the Diocese and the Episcopal Church did not oppose the Attorney General's participation in oral argument.

5. Participation in oral argument by the *Amici* can only help the Court reach a decision in this case. In particular, at the April 25, 2008, hearing the Court indicated its intention to have meaningful oral argument on May 28, and participation by the *Amici* would allow the Court to engage and explore their contentions.

THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF VIRGINIA

By: Of Counsel

Bradfute W. Davenport, Jr. (VSB # 12848) George A. Somerville (VSB # 22419) Joshua D. Heslinga (VSB # 73036) Troutman Sanders LLP Post Office Box 1122 Richmond, Virginia 23218-1122 (804) 697-1200

fax: (804) 697-1339

Mary C. Zinsner (VSB # 31397) Troutman Sanders LLP 1660 International Drive Suite 600

Telephone: (703) 734-4334 Facsimile: (703) 734-4340

McLean, Virginia 22102

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing document were sent by electronic mail to all counsel named below and by first-class mail to the lead counsel at each firm (indicated with a asterisk below), on this 30th day of April, 2008:

* Gordon A. Coffee, Esquire (gcoffee@winston.com)

Gene C. Schaerr, Esquire (gschaerr@winston.com)

Steffen N. Johnson, Esquire (sjohnson@winston.com)

Andrew C. Nichols, Esquire (anichols@winston.com)

Winston & Strawn LLP

1700 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

Counsel for Truro Church, Church of the Epiphany,

Church of the Apostles, The Church at The Falls – The Falls Church, and associated individuals

* George O. Peterson, Esquire (gpeterson@sandsanderson.com)

J. Jonathan Schraub, Esquire (jjschraub@sandsanderson.com)

Sands Anderson Marks & Miller, P.C.

1497 Chain Bridge Road, Suite 202

McLean, Virginia 22101

Counsel for Truro Church and certain associated individuals

* Mary A. McReynolds, Esquire (mamcreynoldspc@aol.com)

Mary A. McReynolds, P.C.

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., 10th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20036

Counsel for St. Margaret's Church, St. Paul's Church, Church of the Epiphany, Church of the Apostles, St. Stephen's Church, and associated individuals

* E. Andrew Burcher, Esquire (eaburcher@pw.thelandlawyers.com)

Walsh, Colucci, Lubeley, Emrich & Walsh, P.C.

4310 Prince William Parkway, Suite 300

Prince William, Virginia 22192

Counsel for St. Margaret's Church, St. Paul's Church, and Church of the Word

* James E. Carr, Esquire (NorthVaJim@aol.com)

Carr & Carr

44135 Woodridge Parkway, Suite 260

Leesburg, Virginia 20176

Counsel for the Church of Our Saviour at Oatlands and associated individuals

* R. Hunter Manson, Esquire (manson@kaballero.com)

PO Box 539

876 Main Street

Reedville, Virginia 22539

Counsel for St. Stephen's Church and associated individuals

* Scott J. Ward, Esquire (sjw@gg-law.com)

Timothy R. Obitts (tro@gg-law.com)

Robert W. Malone (rwm@gg-law.com)

Gammon & Grange, P.C.

8280 Greensboro Drive

Seventh Floor

McLean, Virginia 22102

Counsel for The Church at The Falls – The Falls Church and certain associated individuals, Christ the Redeemer Church, and Potomac Falls Church

* James A. Johnson, Esquire (jjohnson@semmes.com)

Paul N. Farquharson, Esquire (pfarquharson@semmes.com)

Scott H. Phillips, Esquire (sphillips@semmes.com)

Sarah W. Price, Esquire (sprice@semmes.com)

Semmes Bowen & Semmes, P.C.

250 West Pratt Street

Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Counsel for The Church at The Falls – The Falls Church and certain associated individuals

* Edward H. Grove, III, Esquire (egrove@thebraultfirm.com)

Brault Palmer Grove White & Steinhilber LLP

10533 Main Street

P.O. Box 1010

Fairfax, VA, 22038-1010

Counsel for certain trustees of The Church at The Falls – The Falls Church (Episcopal)

* Robert C. Dunn, Esquire (rdunn@robdunnlaw.com)

LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT C. DUNN

707 Prince Street

P. O. Box 117

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-0117

Counsel for Marjorie Bell, trustee of Church of the Epiphany (Episcopal)

* William E. Thro, Esquire (WThro@oag.state.va.us)

Stephen R. McCullough, Esquire (SMccullough@oag.state.va.us)

Office of the Attorney General

900 East Main Street

Richmond, Virginia 23219

Counsel for the Commonwealth of Virginia ex. rel. Robert F. McDonnell, in his official capacity as Attorney General

* Heather H. Anderson, Esquire (handerson@goodwinprocter.com)
Soyong Cho, Esquire (SCho@goodwinprocter.com)
Goodwin Procter
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Counsel for the Episcopal Church

1724638v2